03-16-05



0120 3764

**PATENT** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of

Callister et al.

)

Examiner: Michael A. Brown

Group Art Unit: 3764

For: OCCLUDING DEVICE AND METHOD

OF USE

Serial No.: 09/911,551

. 09/9/11,55

**TRANSMITTAL** 

Filed: July 23, 2001

Atty. Docket No.: R0371-00402

Express Mail Label No.: ED 037316856 US Mailed in San Francisco, CA on March 14, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

P

- 1. Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified patent application are the following:
  - X Communication; and
  - X Form PTO-1449 and copies of 24 cited references; and
  - X Self-stamped return receipt postcard.
- 2. Payment of Fees
  - Applicants believe that there is no fee due with this communication. However, should the Commissioner find otherwise, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees and to credit any overpayment of fees which may be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or §1.17, to Deposit Account No 04-1679, referencing <a href="https://dx.ncbi.org/Atty.com/Atty

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Lynch

Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP

One Market

Spear Tower, Ste. 2000 San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 371-2200

Facsimile: (415) 371-2201 Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of

Callister et al.

For: OCCLUDING DEVICE AND METHOD

OF USE

Serial No.: 09/911,551

Filed: July 23, 2001

Atty. Docket No.: R0371-00402

Express Mail Label No.: ED 037316856 US Mailed in San Francisco, CA on March 14, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(1) and as recommended by the communication mailed February 22, 2005 from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Applicants hereby submit the enclosed form PTO 1449 listing litigation related documents referenced in the Information Disclosure Statement filed September 14, 2004. Applicants have formally listed the prior art formally on the attached form PTO-1449 and have enclosed herewith a copy of the references listed in the attached, except as follows C28-C34. The prior art listed as numbers C28-C34 in the attached are under protective order in Civil Action Nos. C 02-1968 MFP and C 02-3884 MHP in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and we are unable to provide copies of these documents until the protective order is waived as to these documents. Applicants herein have asked the plaintiff in the two aforementioned civil actions for

MARK OTTIOE

Group Art Unit: 3764

Examiner: Michael A. Brown

COMMUNICATION

permission to disclose these papers. When the protective order is waived, Applicants herein will submit these documents to the Patent Office in due course.

Applicants respectfully request consideration of the enclosed listed prior art.

Applicants note with appreciation that claims 1-72 are allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Lynch

Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Ste. 2000 San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 371-2200

Facsimile: (415) 371-2201 Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

UNDER 37 CFR §1.56, §1.97, and §1.98

**PTO-1449 FORM** 

SHEET 1 OF 2

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.:

R0371-00402

O9/911,551

APPLICANTS:

Callister et al.

Michael A. Brown

FILING DATE:

GROUP ART UNIT:

3764

July 23, 2001

### **OTHER DOCUMENTS**

HAUG		OTTER DOCUMENTO
† EX'R INITIAL	*REF. #	Document Description (including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)
	C4	Docket for Civil Action No. C 02 1968 MHP (U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California).
	C5	Docket for Civil Action No. C 02 3884 MHP (U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California).
	C6	First Amended complain For (1) Declaratory Judgment of Patent Non-Infringement, Invalidity And Unenforceability; (2) False Advertising Under 15 USC §1125(A); (3) Trade Libel; (4) Unfair Competition Under Common Law And Cal. B&P §17200 Et Seq.; (5) Unjust Enrichment; and (6) Constructive Trust Demand For Jury Trial, June 13, 2002.
	<b>C</b> 7	Conceptus' Amended Answer To Ovion's Complaint, Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims, September 26, 2002.
	C8	Ovion's Reply To Amended Counterclaims Of Conceptus, October 25, 2002
3	C9	First Amended Complaint For Willful Patent Infringement, Fraud And Misrepresentation, Misappropriation, Unjust Enrichment, Unfair Business Practices, Trade Libel, Slander Of Title, Monopolization, Attempt To Monopolize And Sham Litigation, August 25, 2003.
	C10	Conceptus' Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims For: (1) Declaratory Judgment Of Non-Infringement; (2) Declaratory Judgment Of Unenforceability; (3) Declaratory Judgment Of Invalidity; (4) Correction Of Inventorship of `052 Patent Under 35 USC §256; (5) Correction of Inventorship Of `116 Patent Under 35 USC §256; (6) Fraud And Deceit; (7) Trade Libel; (8) Slander Per Se; (9) Libel; (10) Unfair Competition; And, (11) Slander Of Title, July 2, 2003.
	C11	Joint Claim Construction And Prehearing Statement Pursuant To Patent Local Rule 4-3, August 6, 2003.
	C12	Stipulation And Order Of Dismissal, November 13, 2003.
	C13	Ovion's Response To Conceptus' Interrogatories Nos. 2-4, 6-10, and 12-13, November 1, 2002.
	C14	Ovion's Corrected Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, November 19, 2002.
•	C15	Ovion's Corrected Supplemental Statement Regarding Dates of Invention, November 19, 2002.
,	C16	Ovion's Second Supplemental Statement Regarding Dates of Invention, December 20, 2002.
- 55	C17	Letter from L. Hansen to Judge Patel, July 28, 2003.
	C18	Letter from L. Hansen to Judge Patel, August 5, 2003.
	C19	Letter from L. Hansen to Judge Patel, August 7, 2003.
	C20	Letter from J. Benassi to Judge with declarations of E. Brann and A. Khera, August 8, 2003.
	C21	Letter from E. Brann to Judge Patel with signed declaration of A. Khera, August 13, 2003.
	C22	Letter from L. Hansen to Judge Patel, August 15, 2003.
	C23	Letter from L. Hansen to Judge Patel, enclosing for submission on behalf of Ovion its First Amended Complaint, August 25, 2003.

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

UNDER 37 CFR §1.56, §1.97, and §1.98

**PTO-1449 FORM** 

SHEET 2 OF 2

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO .:

SERIAL NO .:

R0371-00402

09/911,551

APPLICANTS:

Callister et al.

EXAMINER

FILING DATE:

Michael A. Brown
GROUP ART UNIT:

July 23, 2001

3764

#### OTHER DOCUMENTS CONT'D

† EX'R INITIAL	*REF.#	Document Description (including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)
	C24	Letter from J. Benassi to Judge Patel, August 28, 2003.
	C25	Conceptus' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of the Asserted `116 patent Claims in View of Conceptus, Inc.'s `979 Patent, October 6, 2003.
	C26	Ovion's Motion To Change Time for Conceptus' Inappropriate Summary Judgment Motions, October 10, 2003.
	C27	Declaration of Leland G. Hansen In Support Of Ovion's Motion To Change Time, with Exhibits 1-8, October 10, 2003.
3.0	C28**	Plaintiff Conceptus, Inc.'s Response to Defendant Ovion, Inc.' First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 1-6, November 1 2002
3	C29**	Plaintiff Conceptus Inc.'s Initial Disclosure Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1), November 1, 2002.
	C30**	Plaintiff Conceptus, Inc.'s Supplemental Written Statement Concerning Dates of Invention, December 20, 2002.
	C31**	Plaintiff Conceptus, Inc.'s Supplemental Response to Defendant Ovion, Inc.'s Interrogatory No. 1, December 20 2002.
	C32**	Plaintiff Conceptus Inc.'s Corrected Supplemental Response to Defendant Ovion, Inc.'s Interrogatory No.1, January 6, 2003.
	C33**	Plaintiff Conceptus, Inc.'s Corrected Supplemental Written Statement Concerning Dates of Invention, January 6 2003.
	C34**	Conceptus, Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, April 30, 2003.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•		

EXAMINER'S SIGNATC29URE

DATE CONSIDERED.

†EXAMINER:

Initial if reference is considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form in next communication to applicant.

\* If an asterisk is placed beside the reference number, a copy is not provided because the reference was previously cited by or submitted to the PTO in a prior application that is identified in the statement and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120. 37 C.F.R. 1.98(d).

Litigation related documents, listed but not enclosed herewith due to protective order in Civil Action Nos. C 02-1068 MHP and C 02-3884 MHP.